arXiv:1512.03778v1 [math.AP] 11 Dec 2015

Pointwise Bounds and Blow-up for Choquard-Pekar Inequalities at an Isolated Singularity

Marius Ghergu* and Steven D. Taliaferro†‡

Abstract

We study the behavior near the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, of nonnegative functions

$$u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{0.1}$$

satisfying the Choquard-Pekar type inequalities

$$0 \leq -\Delta u \leq (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^\lambda) u^\sigma \quad \text{in } B_2(0) \backslash \{0\} \tag{0.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n), \lambda > 0$, and $\sigma \geq 0$ are constants and $*$ is the convolution operation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We provide optimal conditions on α, λ, and σ such that nonnegative solutions u of (0.1,0.2) satisfy pointwise bounds near the origin.

Keywords: pointwise bound; blow-up; isolated singularity; Choquard-Pekar equation; Riesz potential.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the behavior near the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, of nonnegative functions

$$u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.1}$$

satisfying the Choquard-Pekar type inequalities

$$0 \leq -\Delta u \leq (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^\lambda) u^\sigma \quad \text{in } B_2(0) \backslash \{0\} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n), \lambda > 0$, and $\sigma \geq 0$ are constants and $*$ is the convolution operation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The regularity condition $u \in L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in (1.1) is required for the nonlocal convolution operation in (1.2) to make sense.

A motivation for the study of (1.1,1.2) comes from the equation

$$-\Delta u = (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^\lambda) |u|^{\lambda - 2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $\lambda > 1$. For $n = 3$, $\alpha = 1$, and $\lambda = 2$, equation (1.3) is known in the literature as the *Choquard-Pekar equation* and was introduced in [16] as a model in quantum theory of a Polaron at rest (see also [2]). Later, the equation (1.3) appears as a model of an electron trapped in its own hole, in an approximation to Hartree-Fock theory of one-component plasma [7]. More

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland; marius.ghergu@ucd.ie

†Mathematics Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368; stalia@math.tamu.edu

‡Corresponding author, Phone 001-979-845-3261, Fax 001-979-845-6028

recently, the same equation (1.3) was used in a model of self-gravitating matter (see, e.g., [6, 12]) and it is known in this context as the *Schrödinger-Newton equation*.

The Choquard-Pekar equation (1.3) has been investigated for a few decades by variational methods starting with the pioneering works of Lieb [7] and Lions [8, 9]. More recently, new and improved techniques have been devised to deal with various forms of (1.3) (see, e.g., [10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 19] and the references therein).

Using nonvariational methods, the authors in [14] obtained sharp conditions for the nonexistence of nonnegative solutions to

$$-\Delta u \geq (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^{\lambda})u^{\sigma}$$

in an exterior domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$.

In this paper we address the following question.

Question 1. Suppose $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $\lambda > 0$ are constants. For which nonnegative constants σ, if any, does there exist a continuous function $\varphi : (0, 1) \to (0, \infty)$ such that all nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) satisfy

$$u(x) = O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } x \to 0 \tag{1.4}$$

and what is the optimal such φ when it exists?

We call the function φ in (1.4) a pointwise bound for u as $x \to 0$.

Remark 1. Let $u_\lambda \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\})$ be a nonnegative function such that $u_\lambda = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash B_3(0)$ and

$$u_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-(n-2)} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } 0 < |x| < 2.$$

Then $u_\lambda \in L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $-\Delta u_\lambda = 0$ in $B_2(0) \backslash \{0\}$. Hence u_λ is a solution of (1.1,1.2) for all $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\sigma \geq 0$. Thus any pointwise bound for nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) as $x \to 0$ must be at least as large as $u_\lambda(x)$ and whenever $u_\lambda(x)$ is such a bound it is necessarily optimal. In this case we say u is harmonically bounded at 0.

In order to state our results for Question 1, we define for each $\alpha \in (0, n)$ the continuous, piecewise linear function $g_\alpha : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$g_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n-2} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2} - \lambda & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \max\{0, 1 - \frac{\alpha-2}{n}\lambda\} & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

According to the following theorem, if the point (λ, σ) lies below the graph of $\sigma = g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then all nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) are harmonically bounded at 0.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) where $\alpha \in (0, n), \lambda > 0$, and*

$$0 \leq \sigma < g_\alpha(\lambda).$$

Then u is harmonically bounded at 0, that is, as $x \to 0$,

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} O(|x|^{-(n-2)}) & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ O(1) & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, if $\lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2}$ then u has a C^1 extension to the origin, that is, $u = w|_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}}$ for some function $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By Remark 1 the bound (1.6) for u is optimal.

By the next theorem, if the point (λ, σ) lies above the graph of $\sigma = g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then there does not exist a pointwise bound for nonnegative solutions of (1.1,1.2) as $x \to 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose α, λ, and σ are constants satisfying*

$$\alpha \in (0, n), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma > g_\alpha(\lambda).$$

Let $\varphi : (0, 1) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi(t) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution u of (1.1,1.2) such that

$$u(x) \neq O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } x \to 0.$$

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 completely answer Question 1 when the point (λ, σ) does not lie on the graph of g_α. Concerning the critical case that (λ, σ) lies on the graph of g_α we have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $\alpha \in (0, n)$.*

(i) If $0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$ and $\sigma = g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then all nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) are harmonically bounded at 0.

(ii) If $\lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$ and $\sigma = g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then there does not exist a pointwise bound for nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) as $x \to 0$.

(iii) If $\alpha \in (2, n)$, $\lambda > \frac{n}{\alpha-2}$, and $\sigma = g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then there does not exist a pointwise bound for nonnegative solutions u of (1.1,1.2) as $x \to 0$.

If u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) where (λ, σ) lies in the first quadrant of the $\lambda\sigma$-plane and $\sigma \neq g_\alpha(\lambda)$ then according to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 either

(i) u is bounded around the origin and can be extended to a C^1 function in the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$; or

(ii) u can be unbounded around the origin but must satisfy $u = O(|x|^{-(n-2)})$ as $x \to 0$; or

(iii) no pointwise a priori bound exists for u as $x \to 0$, that is solutions can be arbitrarily large around the origin.

The regions in which these three possibilities occur are depicted in Figs. 1–3 below.

If $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $\lambda > 0$ then one of the following three conditions holds:

(i) $0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$;

(ii) $\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}$;

(iii) $\frac{n}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \infty$.

The proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.3 in case (i)(resp. (ii), (iii)) are given in Section 3 (resp. 4, 5). In Section 2 we provide some lemmas needed for these proofs. Our approach relies on an integral representation formula for nonnegative superharmonic functions due to Brezis and Lions [1] (see Lemma 2.1 below) together with various integral estimates for Riesz potentials.

Finally we mention that throughout this paper ω_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by *Riesz potential estimates* we mean the estimates given in [5, Lemma 7.12] and [17, Chapter 5, Theorem 1]. See also [4, Appendix C].



Figure 1: Case $\alpha \in (2, n)$.



Figure 2: Case $\alpha = 2$.



Figure 3: Case $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

2 Preliminary lemmas

In this section we provide some lemmas needed for the proofs of our results in Sections 3–5.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) for some constants $\alpha \in (0,n), \lambda > 0$, and $\sigma \geq 0$. Let $v = u + 1$. Then*

$$v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^\lambda(B_2(0)) \tag{2.1}$$

and, for some positive constant C, v satisfies

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq -\Delta v \leq C[I_{n-\alpha}(v^\lambda)]v^\sigma \\ v \geq 1 \end{array}\right\} \text{ in } B_2(0)\backslash\{0\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$(I_\beta f)(x) := \int_{|y|<1} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0,n). \tag{2.3}$$

Also

$$-\Delta v, v^\mu \in L^1(B_1(0)) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in [1, \frac{n}{n-2}) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$v(x) = \frac{m}{|x|^{n-2}} + h(x) + C\int_{|y|<1} \frac{-\Delta v(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \quad \text{for } 0 < |x| < 1 \tag{2.5}$$

where $m \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ are constants and h is harmonic and bounded in $B_1(0)$.

Proof. (2.1) follows from (1.1) and the definition of v.

For $0 < |x| < 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y|>1} \frac{u(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} &\leq \left(\max_{1\leq|y|\leq 3} u(y)^\lambda\right) \int_{1<|y|<3} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \int_{|y|>3} u(y)^\lambda dy \\ &\leq C \leq C \min_{|z|\leq 2} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{dy}{|z-y|^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual, C is a positive constant whose value may change from line to line. Thus for $0 < |x| < 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} &\leq \int_{|y|<1} \frac{u(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} + C\int_{|y|<1} \frac{1^\lambda}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \\ &\leq C\int_{|y|<1} \frac{(u(y)+1)^\lambda}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy = C[I_{n-\alpha}(v^\lambda)](x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\Delta u = \Delta v$ and $u < v$ we see that (2.2) follows from (1.2). Also (2.1), (2.2), and [1] imply (2.4) with $\mu = 1$ and (2.5), which together with Riesz potential estimates, yield the complete statement (2.4). □

The following lemma will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2 when $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $\alpha \in (0,n)$ and $\lambda \in (0, \frac{n}{n-2}]$. Let $\{x_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, and $\{r_j\}, \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset (0,1)$ be sequences satisfying*

$$0 < 4|x_{j+1}| < |x_j| < 1/2, \tag{2.6}$$

$$0 < r_j < |x_j|/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_j^{\lambda} + \varepsilon_j) < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Then there exists a nonnegative function

$$u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{2.8}$$

such that

$$0 \leq -\Delta u \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{in } B_{r_j}(x_j), \tag{2.9}$$

$$-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } B_2(0) \backslash (\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{r_j}(x_j)), \tag{2.10}$$

$$u \geq A \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2} (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{in } B_{r_j}(x_j), \tag{2.11}$$

and for $x \in B_{r_j}(x_j)$

$$\int_{|y|<1} \frac{u(y)^{\lambda} dy}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \geq B \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^{\lambda} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^{\lambda} \log \frac{1}{r_j} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^{\lambda} r_j^{n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda} & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^{\lambda} r_j^{-\alpha} (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

where $A = A(n)$ and $B = B(n, \lambda, \alpha)$ are positive constants.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$ be a C^{∞} function whose support is $\overline{B_1(0)}$. Define $\psi_j, f_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ by $\psi_j(y) = \psi(\eta)$ where $y = x_j + r_j \eta$ and $f_j = M_j \psi_j$ where $M_j = \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n \delta_j}$ and

$$\delta_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{n-2}{n}} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_j(y) dy = M_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\eta) r_j^n d\eta \leq \varepsilon_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\eta) d\eta$$

and by (2.6) and $(2.7)_1$ the supports $\overline{B_{r_j}(x_j)}$ of the functions f_j are disjoint and contained in $B_{3/4}(0)$ we see by $(2.7)_2$ that

$$f := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(f) \subset B_1(0). \tag{2.13}$$

Defining

$$v_j(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_j(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}}$$

and making the change of variables

$$x = x_j + r_j\xi, \quad y = x_j + r_j\eta, \quad \text{and} \quad z = x_j + r_j\zeta,$$

we find for $\beta \in [0, n)$ and $R \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|y-x_j|<R} \frac{v_j(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\beta} &= \int_{|\eta|<R/r_j} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{M_j\psi(\zeta)r_j^n d\zeta}{r_j^{n-2}|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}\right)^\lambda}{r_j^\beta|\xi-\eta|^\beta} r_j^n d\eta \\ &= \varepsilon_j^\lambda \delta_j^{-\lambda} r_j^{n-\beta-(n-2)\lambda} \int_{|\eta|<R/r_j} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\psi(\zeta)d\zeta}{|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\beta} d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Also

$$0 < C_1(n) < \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\psi(\zeta)d\zeta}{|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}}{\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}} < C_2(n) < \infty \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.15}$$

Taking $\beta = 0$ and $R = 2$ in (2.14) and using (2.15) we get

$$\int_{|y-x_j|<2} v_j(y)^\lambda dy \le C\varepsilon_j^\lambda \delta_j^{-\lambda} r_j^{n-(n-2)\lambda} \int_{|\eta|<2/r_j} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}\right)^\lambda d\eta \le C(n,\lambda)\varepsilon_j^\lambda \tag{2.16}$$

because $\lambda(n-2) \le n$. Defining

$$v(x) := \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and using (2.16) we get for $1 \le \lambda \le \frac{n}{n-2}$ that

$$n(n-2)\omega_n \|v\|_{L^\lambda(B_1(0))} \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\|_{L^\lambda(B_1(0))} \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\|_{L^\lambda(B_2(x_j))} \le C\sum_{j=1}^\infty \varepsilon_j < \infty$$

by (2.7).

If $\lambda \in (0,1)$ then using (2.16) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} n(n-2)\omega_n \|v\|_{L^\lambda(B_1(0)} &= \|\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j\|_{L^\lambda(B_1(0))} = \left(\int_{B_1(0)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j(y)\right)^\lambda dy\right)^{1/\lambda} \\ &\le \left(\int_{B_1(0)\subset B_2(x_j)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j(y)^\lambda dy\right)^{1/\lambda} \\ &\le \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{B_2(x_j)} v_j(y)^\lambda dy\right)^{1/\lambda} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty C\varepsilon_j^\lambda\right)^{1/\lambda} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by (2.7). Thus by (2.13)

$$v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^\lambda(B_1(0)) \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$-\Delta v = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}. \tag{2.18}$$

Taking $\beta = \alpha \in (0,n)$ and $R = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.14) and using (2.15) we find for $|x - x_j| < r_j$ (i.e. $|\xi| < 1$) that

$$(n(n-2)\omega_n)^\lambda \int_{|y|<1} \frac{v(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \geq \int_{|y-x_j|<1/2} \frac{v_j(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \geq C\varepsilon_j^\lambda \delta_j^{-\lambda} r_j^{n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda} I_j(\xi)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_j(\xi) &:= \int_{|\eta|<\frac{1}{2r_j}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} d\eta \\
&\geq \int_{|\eta|<2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-2}+1}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} d\eta + \int_{2<|\eta|<\frac{1}{2r_j}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2|\eta|^{n-2}}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} d\eta \\
&\geq C(n,\lambda,\alpha) + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \int_{2<|\eta|<\frac{1}{2r_j}} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{(n-2)\lambda+\alpha}} d\eta \\
&\geq C(n,\lambda,\alpha) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r_j^{n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda}} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \log \frac{1}{r_j} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $|x - x_j| < r_j$ we have

$$\int_{|y|<1} \frac{v(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \geq C(n,\lambda,\alpha) \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^\lambda & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^\lambda \log \frac{1}{r_j} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda} & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{-\alpha} (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

Also, for $|x - x_j| < r_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x) &\geq \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \\
&= C(n) \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} \frac{M_j \psi_j(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy \\
&= C(n) \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{M_j \psi(\eta) r_j^n}{r_j^{n-2}|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} d\eta \\
&= C(n) \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}\delta_j} \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{\psi(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} \geq A \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}\delta_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$A = C(n) \min_{|\xi|\leq 1} \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{\psi(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} > 0.$$

Finally, letting $u = \chi v$ where $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1])$ satisfies $\chi = 1$ in $B_2(0)$ and $\chi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash B_3(0)$, it follows from (2.17)–(2.20) that u satisfies (2.8)–(2.12). □

The following lemma will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2 when $\lambda > \frac{n}{n-2}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $\alpha \in (0,n)$ and $\lambda > \frac{n}{n-2}$. Let $\{x_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and $\{r_j\}, \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset (0,1)$ be sequences satisfying*

$$0 < 4|x_{j+1}| < |x_j| < 1/2, \tag{2.21}$$

$$0 < r_j < |x_j|/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < \infty. \tag{2.22}$$

Then there exists a positive function

$$u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{2.23}$$

such that

$$0 \leq -\Delta u \leq \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{2+n/\lambda}} \quad \text{in } B_{r_j}(x_j) \tag{2.24}$$

$$-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \backslash (\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{r_j}(x_j)) \tag{2.25}$$

$$u \geq \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}} \quad \text{in } B_{r_j}(x_j) \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\int_{|y-x_j|<r_j} \frac{u(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \geq \frac{B\varepsilon_j^\lambda}{r_j^\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{r_j}(x_j) \tag{2.27}$$

where $A = A(n)$ and $B = B(n,\lambda,\alpha)$ are positive constants.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$ be a C^∞ function whose support is $\overline{B_1(0)}$. Define $\psi_j, f_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ by $\psi_j(y) = \psi(\eta)$ where $y = x_j + r_j\eta$ and $f_j = M_j\psi_j$ where $M_j = \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{2+n/\lambda}}$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_j(y)dy = M_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\eta) r_j^n d\eta = \varepsilon_j r_j^{\frac{(n-2)\lambda - n}{\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\eta)d\eta \leq \varepsilon_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\eta)d\eta$$

and by (2.21) and $(2.22)_1$ the supports $\overline{B_{r_j}(x_j)}$ of the functions f_j are disjoint and contained in $B_{3/4}(0)$ we see by $(2.22)_2$ that

$$f := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_1(0). \tag{2.28}$$

Defining

$$u_j(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_j(z)dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}}$$

and making the change of variables

$$x = x_j + r_j\xi, \quad y = x_j + r_j\eta, \quad \text{and} \quad z = x_j + r_j\zeta,$$

we find for $\beta \in [0,n)$ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } B_{r_j}(x_j)} \frac{u_j(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } |\eta|<1} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{M_j\psi(\zeta)r_j^n d\zeta}{r_j^{n-2}|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}\right)^\lambda}{r_j^\beta |\xi-\eta|^\beta} r_j^n d\eta$$

$$= \varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } |\eta|<1} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\psi(\zeta)d\zeta}{|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\beta} d\eta. \tag{2.29}$$

Also

$$0 < C_1(n) < \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\psi(\zeta)d\zeta}{|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}}}{\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}} < C_2(n) < \infty \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.30}$$

Taking $\beta = 0$ in (2.29) and using (2.30) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_j(y)^\lambda dy \le C(n,\lambda)\varepsilon_j^\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}\right)^\lambda d\eta \le C(n,\lambda)\varepsilon_j^\lambda \tag{2.31}$$

because $\lambda > n/(n-2)$. Defining

$$u(x) := \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.32}$$

and using (2.31) we get

$$n(n-2)\omega_n \|u\|_{L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|u_j\|_{L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \sum_{j=1}^\infty \varepsilon_j < \infty$$

by (2.22). Thus (2.28) and (2.32) imply (2.23) and $-\Delta u = f$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}$. Hence (2.24) and (2.25) hold.

Taking $\beta = \alpha \in (0,n)$ in (2.29) and using (2.30) we get

$$\min_{x \in \overline{B_{r_j}(x_j)}} \int_{|y-x_j|<r_j} \frac{u(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \ge C(n,\lambda)\varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{-\alpha} \min_{|\xi|\le 1} \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{|\eta|^{n-2}+1}\right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} d\eta \ge C(n,\lambda,\alpha)\varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{-\alpha}$$

which proves (2.27).

Finally, for $|x-x_j| < r_j$ we have

$$u(x) \ge \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} \frac{f(y)dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}}$$

$$= C(n) \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} \frac{M_j\psi_j(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy$$

$$= C(n) \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{M_j\psi(\eta)r_j^n d\eta}{r_j^{n-2}|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} d\eta$$

$$= C(n)\frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}} \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{\psi(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} \ge \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}}$$

where

$$A = C(n) \min_{|\xi|\le 1} \int_{|\eta|<1} \frac{\psi(\eta) d\eta}{|\xi - \eta|^{n-2}} > 0.$$

This proves (2.26). □

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose for some constants $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\sigma \ge 0$ that u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) and $u(x) = O(1)$ as $x \to 0$. Then u has a C^1 extension to the origin, that is, $u = w|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}$ for some function $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $v = u + 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1, v satisfies (2.5). Since u, and hence v, is bounded in $B_1(0) \setminus \{0\}$, the constant m in (2.5) is zero and by (1.1,1.2) $-\Delta u$, and hence $-\Delta v$, is bounded in $B_1(0) \setminus \{0\}$. It therefore follows from (2.5) that v, and hence u, has a C^1 extension to the origin. □

3 The case $0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1–1.3 when $0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$. For these values of λ, the following theorem implies Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) for some constants $\alpha \in (0, n)$,*

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le \sigma \le \frac{n}{n-2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n}) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let $v = u + 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have that (2.1)–(2.5) hold. To prove (3.2), it clearly suffices to prove

$$v(x) = O(|x|^{2-n}) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2+\varepsilon}. \tag{3.4}$$

By (2.4) we have $v \in L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\varepsilon}}(B_1(0))$ which implies

$$v^\lambda \in L^{\frac{n}{(n-2+\varepsilon)\lambda}}(B_1(0)).$$

Thus, since (3.4) implies

$$\frac{\lambda(n-2+\varepsilon)}{n} < \frac{n-\alpha}{n},$$

we have by Riesz potential estimates that

$$I_{n-\alpha}(v^\lambda) \in L^\infty(B_1(0)).$$

Hence by (2.1) and (2.2), v is a C^2 positive solution of

$$0 \le -\Delta v \le C v^\sigma \quad \text{in } B_1(0) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus by (3.1) and [18, Theorem 2.1], v satisfies (3.3). □

Our next result implies Theorem 1.2 when $0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose α, λ, and σ are constants satisfying $\alpha \in (0, n)$*

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma > \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

Let $\varphi : (0,1) \to (0,\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi(t) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution u of (1.1,1.2) such that

$$u(x) \neq O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Let $\{x_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{r_j\}, \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset (0,1)$ be sequences satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). Holding x_j and ε_j fixed and decreasing r_j to a sufficiently small positive number we can assume

$$\frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}} > j\varphi(|x_j|) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, ... \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$r_j^{(n-2)\sigma - n} < A^\sigma B \varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, ... \tag{3.7}$$

where A and B are as in Lemma 2.2.

Let u be as in Lemma 2.2. By (2.10), u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0) \backslash (\{0\} \cup \cup_{j=1}^\infty B_{r_j}(x_j))$. Also, for $x \in B_{r_j}(x_j)$, it follows from (2.9), (3.7), (2.12), and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq -\Delta u \leq \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n} &= \frac{r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-n}}{A^\sigma B \varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1}} (B\varepsilon_j^\lambda) \left(\frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}} \right)^\sigma \\ &\leq (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^\lambda) u^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0) \backslash \{0\}$. Finally by (2.11) and (3.6) we have

$$u(x_j) \geq \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}} > j\varphi(|x_j|)$$

and thus (3.5) holds. □

4 The case $\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1–1.3 when $\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}$. For these values of λ, the result below implies Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) for some constants $\alpha \in (0, n)$,*

$$\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \leq \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \sigma < \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2} - \lambda. \tag{4.1}$$

Then

$$u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n}) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $v = u + 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have that (2.1)–(2.5) hold. To prove (4.2), it clearly suffices to prove

$$v(x) = O(|x|^{-(n-2)}) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Since increasing λ or σ increases the right side of the second inequality in $(2.2)_1$, we can assume instead of (4.1) that

$$\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < \lambda + \sigma < \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since the increased value of λ is less than $\frac{n}{n-2}$, it follows from (2.4) that (2.1) still holds.

By (4.4) there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \lambda, \sigma, \alpha) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{n+2-\alpha}{n+2-\alpha-\varepsilon}\right)\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + \sigma < \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2+\varepsilon} \tag{4.5}$$

which implies

$$\sigma < \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2+\varepsilon} - \lambda < \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2+\varepsilon} - \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \frac{n}{n-2+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.6}$$

Suppose for contradiction that (4.3) is false. Then there is a sequence $\{x_j\} \subset B_{1/2}(0)\backslash\{0\}$ such that $x_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} |x_j|^{n-2} v(x_j) = \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Since for $|x - x_j| < |x_j|/4$

$$\int_{\substack{|y-x_j|>|x_j|/2 \\ |y|<1}} \frac{-\Delta v(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy \le \left(\frac{4}{|x_j|}\right)^{n-2} \int_{|y|<1} -\Delta v(y) dy,$$

it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$v(x) \le C\left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{n-2}} + \int_{|y-x_j|<|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy\right] \quad \text{for } |x - x_j| < \frac{|x_j|}{4}. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting $x = x_j$ in (4.8) and using (4.7) we find that

$$|x_j|^{n-2} \int_{|y-x_j|<|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(y)}{|x_j-y|^{n-2}} dy \to \infty \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

Also by (2.4) we have

$$\int_{|y-x_j|<|x_j|/2} -\Delta v(y) dy \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{4.10}$$

Defining $f_j(\eta) = -r_j^n \Delta v(x_j + r_j\eta)$ where $r_j = |x_j|/8$ and making the change of variables $y = x_j + r_j\eta$ in (4.10) and (4.9) we get

$$\int_{|\eta|<4} f_j(\eta) d\eta \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\int_{|\eta|<4} \frac{f_j(\eta) d\eta}{|\eta|^{n-2}} \to \infty \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Let

$$N(y) = \int_{|z|<1} \frac{-\Delta v(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \quad \text{for } 0 < |y| < 1.$$

By (2.4) and Riesz potential estimates, $N \in L^{\frac{n}{n-2+\varepsilon}}(B_1(0))$. Thus $N^\lambda \in L^{\frac{n}{\lambda(n-2+\varepsilon)}}(B_1(0))$. Hence by Hölder's inequality and (4.5) we have for $R \in (0,1]$ and $|x-x_j| < R|x_j|/8$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|y|<1} \frac{N(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} - \int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/4} \frac{N(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} &= \int_{|y-x_j|>R|x_j|/4, |y|<1} \frac{N(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \\
&\le \left(\|N^\lambda\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\lambda(n-2+\varepsilon)}}(B_1(0))} \right) \left(\int_{|y-x_j|>R|x_j|/4} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{\alpha q}} \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{where } \frac{\lambda(n-2+\varepsilon)}{n} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \\
&\le C \left(\int_{|y-x_j|>R|x_j|/4} \frac{dy}{|y-x_j|^{\alpha q}} \right)^{1/q} \qquad (4.13) \\
&= C \frac{1}{|x_j|^{(n-2+\varepsilon)\lambda - (n-\alpha)}} \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ depends on R but not on j. In (4.13) we used the fact that

$$\frac{|y-x|}{|y-x_j|} \ge \frac{|y-x_j| - |x-x_j|}{|y-x_j|} = 1 - \frac{|x-x_j|}{|y-x_j|} > 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

for $|x-x_j| < R|x_j|/8$ and $|y-x_j| > R|x_j|/4$.

Since, by (2.4),

$$N(y) \le C \left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{n-2}} + \int_{|z-x_j|<R|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \right] \quad \text{for } |y-x_j| < R|x_j|/4,$$

we see for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/4} \frac{N(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \le C \left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{(n-2)\lambda-(n-\alpha)}} + \int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/4} \frac{\left(\int_{z-x_j|<R|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \right)^\lambda}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \right].$$

It therefore follows from (2.5), (4.4), [3, Corollary 3.7], and (4.14) that for $|x-x_j| < R|x_j|/8$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|y|<1} \frac{v(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} &\le C \left[\int_{|y|<1} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^\alpha |y|^{(n-2)\lambda}} + \int_{|y|<1} \frac{N(y)^\lambda dy}{|x-y|^\alpha} \right] \\
&\le C \left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{(n-2+\varepsilon)\lambda-(n-\alpha)}} + \int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/4} \frac{\left(\int_{|z-x_j|<R|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \right)^\lambda}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ depends on R but not on j.

We see therefore from (2.2), (2.4), and (2.5) that for $|x-x_j| < R|x_j|/8$ and $R \in (0,1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v(x) \le & C \left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{(n-2+\varepsilon)\lambda-(n-\alpha)}} + \int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/4} \frac{\left(\int_{|z-x_j|<R|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(z) dz}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \right)^\lambda}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \right] \\
& \times \left[\frac{1}{|x_j|^{(n-2)\sigma}} + \left(\int_{|y-x_j|<R|x_j|/2} \frac{-\Delta v(y) dy}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \right)^\sigma \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence under the change of variables

$$f_j(\xi) = -r_j^n \Delta v(x), \quad x = x_j + r_j\xi, \quad y = x_j + r_j\eta, \quad z = x_j + r_j\zeta, \quad r_j = |x_j|/8$$

we obtain from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(\xi) &= -r_j^n \Delta v(x) \le -r_j^{(n-2+\varepsilon)(\lambda+\sigma)-(n-\alpha)} \Delta v(x) \\ &\le C \left[1 + \int_{|\eta|<4R} \frac{\left(\int_{|\zeta|<4R} \frac{f_j(\zeta)d\zeta}{|\eta-\zeta|^{n-2}} \right)^\lambda}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha} d\eta \right] \left[1 + \left(\int_{|\eta|<4R} \frac{f_j(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} \right)^\sigma \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for $|\xi| < R$ where $C > 0$ depends on R but not on j.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose the sequence*

$$\{f_j\} \text{ is bounded in } L^p(B_{4R}(0)) \tag{4.16}$$

for some constants $p \in [1, \frac{n}{2}]$ and $R \in (0, 1]$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_0 = C_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \alpha)$ such that the sequence

$$\{f_j\} \text{ is bounded in } L^q(B_R(0)) \tag{4.17}$$

for some $q \in (p, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \ge C_0. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. For $R \in (0, 1]$ we formally define operators N_R and I_R by

$$(N_R f)(\xi) = \int_{|\eta|<4R} \frac{f(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^{n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad (I_R f)(\xi) = \int_{|\eta|<4R} \frac{f(\eta)d\eta}{|\xi-\eta|^\alpha}.$$

Define p_2 by

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} \tag{4.19}$$

where ε is as in (4.5). Then $p_2 \in (p, \infty)$ and thus by Riesz potential estimates we have

$$\|(N_R f_j)^\lambda\|_{p_2/\lambda} = \|N_R f_j\|_{p_2}^\lambda \le C \|f_j\|_p^\lambda \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\|(N_R f_j)^\sigma\|_{p_2/\sigma} = \|N_R f_j\|_{p_2}^\sigma \le C \|f_j\|_p^\sigma \tag{4.21}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(B_{4R}(0))}$. Since

$$\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} \le 1 - \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} = \frac{n-2+\varepsilon}{n}$$

we see by (4.5) that

$$\frac{p_2}{\lambda} > 1. \tag{4.22}$$

Now there are two cases to consider.

Case I. Suppose

$$\frac{p_2}{\lambda} < \frac{n}{n-\alpha}. \tag{4.23}$$

Define p_3 and q by

$$\frac{\lambda}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{n-\alpha}{n} \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{\sigma}{p_2} = \frac{\lambda+\sigma}{p_2} - \frac{n-\alpha}{n}. \tag{4.25}$$

It follows from (4.22)–(4.25), (4.19), and (4.4) that

$$1 < \frac{p_2}{\lambda} < p_3 < \infty, \quad q > 0, \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1}{p} - \left((\lambda+\sigma)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n}\right) - \frac{n-\alpha}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{(2-\varepsilon)(\lambda+\sigma) + (n-\alpha)}{n} - \frac{\lambda+\sigma-1}{p} \\
&\geq \frac{(2-\varepsilon)(\lambda+\sigma) + (n-\alpha) - n(\lambda+\sigma-1)}{n} \\
&= \frac{2n - \alpha - (n-2+\varepsilon)(\lambda+\sigma)}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.18) holds by (4.5).

By (4.24), (4.26), (4.20), and Riesz potential estimates we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I_R((N_R f_j)^\lambda))^q\|_{p_3/q} &= \|I_R((N_R f_j)^\lambda)\|_{p_3}^q \\
&\leq C\|(N_R f_j)^\lambda\|_{p_2/\lambda}^q \\
&\leq C\|f_j\|_p^{\lambda q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also by (4.21) we get

$$\|(N_R f_j)^{\sigma q}\|_{\frac{p_2}{\sigma q}} = \|(N_R f_j)^\sigma\|_{p_2/\sigma}^q \leq C\|f_j\|_p^{\sigma q}.$$

It therefore follows from (4.15), (4.25), Hölder's inequality, and (4.16) that (4.17) holds.

Case II. Suppose

$$\frac{p_2}{\lambda} \geq \frac{n}{n-\alpha}. \tag{4.27}$$

Then by Riesz potential estimates, (4.16), and (4.20) we find that the sequence

$$\{I_R((N_R f_j)^\lambda)\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\gamma(B_{4R}(0)) \text{ for all } \gamma \in (1,\infty). \tag{4.28}$$

Let $\hat{q} = p_2/\sigma$. Then by (4.19),

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{q}} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\sigma}{p_2} = \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{1-\sigma}{p_2}.$$

Thus for $\sigma \leq 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{q}} \geq \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} > 0$$

and for $\sigma > 1$ it follows from (4.27) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{q}} &\geq \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} - \frac{\sigma - 1}{\frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha}} \\ &\geq \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} - \frac{\frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2} - \lambda - 1}{\frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{n+2-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n\lambda}\left(\lambda - \left(\frac{n+2-\alpha}{n+2-\alpha-\varepsilon}\right)\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}\right) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus defining $q \in (p, \hat{q})$ by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\hat{q}}}{2}$$

we have for $\sigma > 0$ that

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{q}}\right) \geq C_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \alpha) > 0.$$

That is (4.18) holds.

Since $q\sigma/p_2 < \hat{q}\sigma/p_2 = 1$ there exists $\gamma \in (q, \infty)$ such that

$$\frac{q}{\gamma} + \frac{q\sigma}{p_2} = 1. \tag{4.29}$$

Also

$$\|(I_R((N_R f_j)^\lambda))^q\|_{\gamma/q} = \|I_R((N_R f_j)^\lambda)\|_\gamma^q$$

and by (4.21)

$$\|(N_R f_j)^{\sigma q}\|_{\frac{p_2}{\sigma q}} = \|(N_R f_j)^\sigma\|_{p_2/\sigma}^q \leq C\|f_j\|_p^{\sigma q}.$$

It therefore follows from (4.15), (4.29), Hölder's inequality, (4.28), and (4.16) that (4.17) holds. □

We return now to the proof of Theorem 4.1. By (4.11) the sequence

$$\{f_j\} \text{ is bounded in } L^1(B_4(0)). \tag{4.30}$$

Starting with this fact and iterating Lemma 4.1 a finite number of times (m times is enough if $m > 1/C_0$) we see that there exists $R_0 \in (0,1)$ such that the sequence

$$\{f_j\} \text{ is bounded in } L^p(B_{4R_0}(0))$$

for some $p > n/2$. Hence by Riesz potential estimates the sequence $\{N_{R_0} f_j\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(B_{4R_0}(0))$. Thus (4.15) implies the sequence

$$\{f_j\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(B_{R_0}(0)). \tag{4.31}$$

Since

$$\int_{|\eta|<4} \frac{f_j(\eta)d\eta}{|\eta|^{n-2}} \leq \int_{|\eta|<R_0} \frac{f_j(\eta)d\eta}{|\eta|^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{R_0^{n-2}} \int_{R_0 \leq |\eta| < 4} f_j(\eta)d\eta$$

we see that (4.30) and (4.31) contradict (4.12). This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

The following theorem implies Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when

$$\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \le \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose α, λ, and σ are constants satisfying*

$$\alpha \in (0,n), \quad \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \le \lambda \le \frac{n}{n-2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &\ge \frac{n}{n-2} && \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2}; \\ \sigma &> \frac{2n-\alpha}{n-2} - \lambda && \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}; \\ \sigma &> \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} && \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi : (0,1) \to (0,\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi(t) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution u of (1.1,1.2) such that

$$u(x) \ne O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{4.32}$$

Proof. Let $\{x_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{r_j\}, \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset (0,1)$ be sequences satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). Holding x_j and ε_j fixed and decreasing r_j to a sufficiently small positive number we can assume for $j = 1, 2, ...$ that

$$j\varphi(|x_j|) \le \begin{cases} \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}} & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \le \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_j}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases} \tag{4.33}$$

and

$$A^\sigma B \varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1} \ge \begin{cases} r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-n}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_j}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-(2n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda)} & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \\ r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-(n-\alpha)}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_j}\right)^{\frac{(n-2)\sigma+2}{n}} & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases} \tag{4.34}$$

where A and B are as in Lemma 2.2. Let u be as in Lemma 2.2. By (2.10), u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0)\backslash(\{0\} \cup \cup_{j=1}^\infty B_{r_j}(x_j))$. Also, for $x \in B_{r_j}(x_j)$, it follows from (2.9), (4.34), (2.12), and (2.11) that for $\frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \le \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le -\Delta u &\le \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-n}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_j}\right)^{-1}}{A^\sigma B\varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1}}(B\varepsilon_j^\lambda \log \frac{1}{r_j})\left(A\frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} \\ \frac{r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-(2n-\alpha-(n-2)\lambda)}}{A^\sigma B\varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1}}\left(B\varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{(n-\alpha)-(n-2)\lambda}\right)\left(A\frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2}}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } \frac{n-\alpha}{n-2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{n-2} \end{cases} \\ &\le (|x|^\alpha * u^\lambda)u^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and, for $\lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le -\Delta u &\le \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^n (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \\ &= \frac{r_j^{(n-2)\sigma-(n-\alpha)} (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{(n-2)\sigma+2}{n}}}{A^\sigma B \varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1}} \left(\frac{B\varepsilon_j^\lambda r_j^{-\alpha}}{\log \frac{1}{r_j}} \right) \left(\frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n-2} (\log \frac{1}{r_j})^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \right)^\sigma \\ &\le (|x|^{-\alpha} * u^\lambda) u^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0)\backslash\{0\}$. Finally, by (2.11) and (4.33) we have

$$u(x_j) \ge j\varphi(|x_j|)$$

and thus (4.32) holds. □

5 The case $\lambda \ge \frac{n}{n-2}$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1–1.3 when $\lambda \ge \frac{n}{n-2}$. For these values of λ, our next result implies Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution of (1.1,1.2) for some constants $\alpha \in (0, n)$,*

$$\lambda \ge \frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le \sigma < 1 - \frac{\alpha - 2}{n}\lambda. \tag{5.1}$$

Then

$$u(x) = O(1) \quad \text{as } x \to 0 \tag{5.2}$$

and u has a C^1 extension to the origin.

Proof. Let $v = u + 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have that (2.1)–(2.5) hold. To prove (5.2) it clearly suffices to prove

$$v(x) = O(1) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

By (2.1) and (5.1), the constant m in (2.5) is zero and thus by (2.5)

$$v(x) \le C \left[1 + \int_{|y|<1} \frac{-\Delta v(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy \right] \quad \text{for } 0 < |x| < 1 \tag{5.4}$$

for some positive constant C.

Since increasing σ increases the right side of the second inequality in $(2.2)_1$, we can assume instead of (5.1) that

$$\lambda \ge \frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \sigma < 1 - \frac{\alpha - 2}{n}\lambda \tag{5.5}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\sigma}{\lambda} < \frac{2-\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \le \frac{2-\alpha}{n} + \frac{n-2}{n} = \frac{n-\alpha}{n}. \tag{5.6}$$

By (5.5) there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \lambda, \sigma, \alpha) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\alpha + \varepsilon < n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma < 1 - \frac{\alpha + \varepsilon - 2}{n}\lambda$$

which implies

$$\frac{\sigma - 1}{\lambda} < \frac{2 - \alpha - \varepsilon}{n}. \tag{5.7}$$

For the proof of Theorem 5.1 we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose*

$$v \in L^p(B_1(0)) \tag{5.8}$$

for some constant

$$p \in \left[\lambda, \frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha-\varepsilon}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

Then either

$$v \in L^{\frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha-\varepsilon}}(B_1(0)) \tag{5.10}$$

or there exists a positive constant $C_0 = C_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \alpha)$ such that

$$v \in L^q(B_1(0)) \tag{5.11}$$

for some $q \in (p, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq C_0. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. Define p_2 by

$$\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{n-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n}. \tag{5.13}$$

Then by (5.9)

$$1 \leq \frac{p}{\lambda} < p_2 < \infty$$

and thus by Riesz potential estimates and (5.8) we have

$$\|I_{n-\alpha}v^\lambda\|_{p_2} \leq C\|v^\lambda\|_{\frac{p}{\lambda}} = C\|v\|_p^\lambda < \infty \tag{5.14}$$

where I_β is defined in (2.3).

Define $p_3 > 0$ by

$$\frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{\sigma}{p}. \tag{5.15}$$

Then by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|((I_{n-\alpha}v^\lambda)v^\sigma)^{p_3}\|_1 &\leq \|(I_{n-\alpha}v^\lambda)^{p_3}\|_{\frac{p_2}{p_3}}\|v^{\sigma p_3}\|_{\frac{p}{\sigma p_3}} \\ &= \|I_{n-\alpha}v^\lambda\|_{p_2}^{p_3}\|v\|_p^{\sigma p_3} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

by (5.8) and (5.14). Hence by (2.2)

$$-\Delta v \in L^{p_3}(B_1(0)). \tag{5.16}$$

Also by (5.15), (5.13), (5.9), and (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p_3} &= \frac{\lambda+\sigma}{p} - \frac{n-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n} \leq \frac{\lambda+\sigma}{\lambda} - \frac{n-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n} \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} + \frac{\alpha+\varepsilon}{n} < \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\alpha+\varepsilon-2}{n} + \frac{\alpha+\varepsilon}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (5.5) we see that

$$p_3 > 1. \tag{5.17}$$

Case I. Suppose $p_3 \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then by (5.16), (5.4), and Riesz potential estimates we have $v \in L^q(B_1(0))$ for all $q > 1$ which implies (5.10).

Case II. Suppose $p_3 < \frac{n}{2}$. Define q by

$$\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{n}. \tag{5.18}$$

Then by (5.17)

$$1 < p_3 < q < \infty.$$

Hence by (5.16), (5.4) and Riesz potential estimates we have (5.11) holds.

Also by (5.18), (5.15), (5.13). (5.9), and (5.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{p_3} &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{\sigma}{p} - \frac{\lambda}{p} + 1 - \frac{\alpha+\varepsilon}{n} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda+\sigma-1}{p} + 1 - \frac{\alpha+\varepsilon-2}{n} \\ &\geq \frac{1-(\lambda+\sigma)}{\lambda} + 1 + \frac{2-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.12) holds. □

We now return to the proof of Theorem 5.1. By (2.1), $v \in L^\lambda(B_1(0))$. Starting with this fact and iterating Lemma 5.1 a finite number of times we see that (5.10) holds. In particular

$$v \in L^p(B_1(0)) \tag{5.19}$$

for some

$$p > \frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha}. \tag{5.20}$$

Hence $v^\lambda \in L^{\frac{p}{\lambda}}(B_1(0))$ and $\frac{p}{\lambda} > \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$. Thus by Riesz potential estimates $I_{n-\alpha}(v^\lambda) \in L^\infty(B_1(0))$. So by (2.2)

$$0 \leq -\Delta v < Cv^\sigma \text{ in } B_1(0)\backslash\{0\}. \tag{5.21}$$

Hence by (5.19), $-\Delta v \in L^{\frac{p}{\sigma}}(B_1(0))$ and by (5.20) and (5.6)

$$\frac{p}{\sigma} > \frac{n}{n-\alpha}\frac{\lambda}{\sigma} > \left(\frac{n}{n-\alpha}\right)^2 > 1.$$

Thus by (5.4) and Riesz potential estimates

$$v \in L^q(B_1(0)) \quad \text{where } q = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \frac{p}{\sigma} \geq \frac{n}{2-\varepsilon} \\ \frac{1}{\frac{\sigma}{p} - \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n}} & \text{if } \frac{p}{\sigma} < \frac{n}{2-\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

If $q = \infty$ then (5.3) holds. Hence we can assume $\frac{p}{\sigma} < \frac{n}{2-\varepsilon}$. Then by (5.22)

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\sigma}{p} + \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n}.$$

Thus, if $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ then

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{n}.$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma > 1$ then by (5.20) and (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} - \frac{\sigma-1}{p} \\ &> \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} - \frac{\sigma-1}{\lambda}\frac{n-\alpha}{n} \\ &> \frac{2-\varepsilon}{n} - \frac{2-\alpha-\varepsilon}{n} = \frac{\alpha}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\sigma > 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} > C(n,\alpha) > 0.$$

Hence, after a finite number of iterations of the procedure of going from (5.19) to (5.22) we get $v \in L^\infty(B_1(0))$ and hence we see again that (5.3) holds.

Finally by Lemma 2.4, u has a C^1 extension to the origin. □

The result below implies Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $\lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose α, λ, and σ are constants satisfying $\alpha \in (0,n)$,*

$$\lambda \geq \frac{n}{n-2}, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{\alpha-2}{n}\lambda.$$

Let $\varphi : (0,1) \to (0,\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution u of (1.1,1.2) such that

$$u(x) \neq O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } x \to 0. \tag{5.23}$$

Proof. If $\lambda = \frac{n}{n-2}$ then Theorem 5.2 follows from Theorem 4.2. Hence we can assume $\lambda > \frac{n}{n-2}$.

Let $\{x_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{r_j\}, \{e_j\} \subset (0,1)$ be sequences satisfying (2.21) and (2.22). Holding x_j and ε_j fixed and decreasing r_j to a sufficiently small positive number we can assume

$$\frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}} > j\varphi(|x_j|) \quad \text{for } j = 1,2,... \tag{5.24}$$

and

$$r_j^{\frac{n}{\lambda}(\sigma-(1-\frac{\alpha-2}{n}\lambda))} < A^\sigma B \varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1} \quad \text{for } j = 1,2,... \tag{5.25}$$

where A and B are as in Lemma 2.3. Let u be as in Lemma 2.3. By (2.25) u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0)\backslash(\{0\} \cup \cup_{j=1}^\infty B_{r_j}(x_j))$. Also, for $x \in B_{r_j}(x_j)$, it follows from (2.24), (5.25), (2.27), and (2.26) that

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leq -\Delta u \leq \frac{\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{2+n/\lambda}} &= \frac{r_j^{\frac{n}{\lambda}(\sigma-(1-\frac{\alpha-2}{n}\lambda))}}{A^\sigma B\varepsilon_j^{\lambda+\sigma-1}} \left(\frac{B\varepsilon_j^\lambda}{r_j^\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}}\right)^\sigma \\ &\leq (|x|^\alpha * u^\lambda)u^\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Thus u satisfies (1.2) in $B_2(0)\backslash\{0\}$.

Finally by (2.26) and (5.24) we have

$$u(x_j) \geq \frac{A\varepsilon_j}{r_j^{n/\lambda}} > j\varphi(|x_j|)$$

and thus (5.23) holds. □

References

[1] H. Brezis and P-L. Lions, A note on isolated singularities for linear elliptic equations, Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A, pp. 263–266, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., 7a, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.

[2] J. T. Devreese and A. S. Alexandrov, Advances in polaron physics, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, vol. 159, Springer, 2010.

[3] M. Ghergu and S. D. Taliaferro, Asymptotic behavior at isolated singularities for solutions of nonlocal semilinear elliptic systems of inequalities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 54 (2015), 1243–1273.

[4] M. Ghergu and S. D. Taliaferro, Isolated Singularities in Partial Differential Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 2016.

[5] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[6] K.R.W. Jones, Newtonian quantum gravity, Australian Journal of Physics 48 (1995), 1055–1081.

[7] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, Studies in Appl. Math. 57 (1976/77), 93–105.

[8] P.-L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions, Nonlinear Anal. (1980), 1063–1072.

[9] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire (1984), 109–145.

[10] L. Ma and L. Zhao, Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 195 (2010), 455–467.

[11] M. Melgaard and F. Zongo, Multiple solutions of the quasirelativistic Choquard equation, J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012), 033709.

[12] I. M. Moroz, R. Penrose and P. Tod, Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations, Classical Quantum Gravity 15 (1998), 2733–2742.

[13] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), 153–184.

[14] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Nonexistence and optimal decay of supersolutions to Choquard equations in exterior domains, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), 3089–3145.

[15] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Semi-classical states for the Choquard equation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 52 (2015), 199–235.

[16] S. Pekar, Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.

[17] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, 1970.

[18] S. D. Taliaferro, Isolated singularities of nonlinear elliptic inequalities, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), 1885–1897.

[19] J. Wei and M. Winter, Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger-Newton equations, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), 012905.